



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,207	08/29/2003	Manuel J. Villa	99-006-Div	7626
7590 11/15/2005			EXAMINER	
Mary-Elizabeth Buckles, Esq.			KATCHEVES, KONSTANTINA T	
REED SMITH	LLP			
1301 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1100 - East Tower			1636	
Washington, D	C 20005-3317	DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/651,207	VILLA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Konstantina Katcheves	1636			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 August 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-38 are pending in the present application. No claims have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Claims 1-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinchliffe et al. (U. S. Patent 5,637,504), in view of Hoffman et al. (US Patent 5,844,089), Buxton (US Patent 5,646,037) and Henry et al. (US Patent 5,529,912) for the reasons already of record and those set forth below in response to Applicant's remarks.

As interpreted by the examiner the claims are drawn to a diploid *Saccharomyces* yeast cell that contains at least one functional copies of the *INO1* gene. The limitations that additional functional copies is met so long as the cells are capable of having additional copies of the *INO1* gene inserted into mutation loci. Absent evidence to the contrary and given that the cited art does teach the elements of the claims, the cells would inherently be capable of the insertion of the gene. Indeed, Hinchliffe et al. teach FLP recombination which shows that the cells are capable of insertion. Although dependent claims have further limitations, it is this element found in all the claims included the broadest claim that Applicant's arguments are based upon.

The basis for Applicant's arguments with regard to Hinchliffe et al., Hoffman et al. and Buxton revolves around the proposition that not one of these references teach a yeast integration element comprising a 2 micron element. First, it should be noted by Applicant that these references were not cited for the disclosure of an integration plasmid or 2 micron element. Indeed, a review of the claims themselves shows that neither an integration plasmid nor a 2

Art Unit: 1636

micron element is not recited. Therefore, Applicant is relying on limitations not found in the claims to refute the cited references.

Applicant also argues that Buxton teaches away from the present invention because Buxton "points out the disadvantages of integration plasmids." Because the integration plasmids are not specifically claimed, only the elements of the yeast cell, then Buxton is not a true teaching away from the cell of the claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konstantina Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-0768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 7:30 to 5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,207 Page 4

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Konstantina Katcheves Examiner Art Unit 1636

> JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER